UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/534,780 | 10/20/2005 | Yafan Huang | 22542-010 NATL | 1359 |
| 30623 7590 01/17/2008 MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | BAGGOT, BRENDAN O | |
| ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 2001010,1 | | | 1638 | |
| | | | MAN DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | , | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/17/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/534,780 | HUANG ET AL. | | |
| Examiner-initiated interview duminary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Brendan O. Baggot | 1638 | | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | | | |
| (1) <u>Brendan O. Baggot</u> . | . (3) | | | |
| (2) <u>Cynthia Kozakiewicz</u> . | (4) | | | |
| Date of Interview: 16 January 2008 | Time: | | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | , | | |
| Part I. | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Harper et al WO 2002/16655, published 28 February 2002. | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The issues discussed in the Response filed 10/11/07 were reviewed. | | | | |
| Part III. | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | / | | | |
| | -dal 3 1 15 | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) / (Applicant | Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – ir appropriate) | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20080115b